EDANASAAA3AA91_9

31 October 1979

	PLANNING MEMORANDUM FOR:	Director of Personnel			
25X1	FROM :	Chief, Human Resources	s Analysis Staff		
25X		Did Active Promotion I Grades?	Planning Raise Average		
	1. With the advent of active promotion planning, there has been at least a possibility that aggressive planning would close the gap between employee average and position average grade. During FY 1979, this has not been a problem for the Agency in aggregate. For three of the five career services, the average grade of employees has actually declined and for the same three, the gap between average grade of employees and the higher average grade of positions has actually increased.				
	(number in parens is the Career Service	position average grade) 30/9/78	30/9/79		
	D (DDO) E (DCI) I (NFAC) M (DDA) R (DDS&T)	10.6286 (10.7697) 11.2876 (11.3947) 10.8946 (11.1172) 9.8202 (10.0919) 10.5109 (10.7184)	10.6233 (10.8720) 11.1345 (11.5470) 10.8584 (11.1379) 9.9308 (10.1299) 10.5269 (10.7082)		
	As may be seen from these numbers, the employee average grade actually declined in the D, E, and I Career Services.				
25X1	3. From the above table, data with respect to the gap between employee average grade and position average grade can be displayed. The following compilation shows how the gap has enlarged (that is, position average grade has increased more than employee average grade) in the D, E, and I Career Services:				
	Career Service	30/9/78	30/9/79		
	D (DDO) E (DCI) I (NFAC) M (DDA) R (DDS&T)	.1411 .1071 .2226 .2717 .2075	.2487 .4125 .2795 .1991 .1813	25X	

Approved F Release 2004/05/12: A-RDP83-001 R000600030091-9

4. The above data have been draw Authorization (CSGA) tabulations prepare		25X		
5. Before any conclusions or pro- outlined data, it must be noted that F factors. The DDO position reduction wi 1979 accounts for a significant portion service's position average grade. Dura there was an unusually high rate of em- the DDO average. The slotting of Care- reduced employee average grade. The D''I'' and "E' career services since those position ceiling freed by the DDO cuts level. Increased hiring of entry level lowered the employee average grade in large number of positions in DDO and N review by PMCD, the CSGA headroom pictors	hich was implemented in February n of the increase of that career ing the same general time frame ployee separation at grades above er Trainees against the DDO furthe DO reduction had an impact on the e directorates utilized some of the at a higher average position grade 1 professionals in DCI and NFAC those Career Services. Since a FAC are currently pending grade	er ne		
6. One more factor which will have a direct impact on promotion headroom in FY-80 will be the implementation of the Senior Intelligence Service. The Comptroller has been instructed by OMB to lower the Agency average grade of positions from 10.67 to 10.51 in FY-80. Until the conversion of supergrade positions is complete, the Agency will technically exceed its average grade ceiling. It will be necessary to update the CSGA data after the supergrade positions and personnel are removed from the CSGA and the net impact can be assessed.				
7. In summary, it would appear that an aggressive promotion system did not have undesired impact on average grade during FY 1979, but it would be prudent to monitor carefully the promotion activity during FY-80 to ensure some promotion headroom survives the expected changes. (PMCD has participated in the preparation of this memorandum).				
	25X1			